

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Dominick Cestro,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Snowflake Justice Court,

13 Defendant.
14

No. CV-23-08608-PCT-JAT

ORDER

15 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time for Service on
16 two named parties: the County of Navajo and Michael Brogan. (Doc. 20). The Federal
17 Rules of Civil Procedure require service within ninety (90) days after the complaint is filed
18 and provide that if Plaintiff fails to timely serve and fails to show good cause for the lack
19 of timely service, the Court must dismiss the action without prejudice or order that service
20 be made within a specified time. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

21 Plaintiff filed his complaint on November 21, 2023; as such, service was due on
22 February 19, 2024. Plaintiff moved for an extension of time on February 25, 2024, six (6)
23 days after service was due, listing various reasons for the request for an extension.
24 However, the Court finds that the motion Plaintiff filed fails to show good cause for his
25 delays not only in executing service but also in filing the pending motion. As such, the
26 Court orders Plaintiff to file a more detailed response to this Order showing good cause for
27 these failures. If Plaintiff fails to show such good cause, the Court will dismiss the unserved
28 Defendants without prejudice.

